## Case 3:99-cv-02506-SI Document 1004 Filed 02/14/06 Page 1 of 3 1 Robert A. Mittelstaedt (#060359) ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com 2 Caroline N. Mitchell (#143124) cnmitchell@jonesday.com 3 JONES DAY 555 California Street, 26th Floor 4 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 626-3939 5 Facsimile: (415) 875-5700 6 Attorneys for Defendants 7 Judith Brown Chomsky jchomsky@igc.org 8 LAW OFFICES OF JUDITH BROWN CHOMSKY P.O. Box 29726 9 Elkins Park, PA 19027 Telephone: (215) 782-8367 Facsimile: (215) 782-8268 10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 11 12 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 16 Case No. C-99-2506-SI LARRY BOWOTO, et. al. 17 Plaintiffs, JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE BRIEFING SCHEDULE AND 18 v. **HEARING DATE** 19 CHEVRON CORPORATION, et al., 20 Defendants. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

WHEREAS, plaintiffs filed Judith Brown Chomsky's Rule 1006 Summary in Support of Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim on Which Relief Can Be Granted, or, in the Alternative, Summary Judgment, and for a Determination of the Applicable Law (filed January 27, 2006) ("Summary") on January 31, 2006;

WHEREAS defendants are moving to strike the Summary and submitting objections to it on February 10, 2006;

WHEREAS both parties agree Defendants' Motion to Strike the Summary should be heard at the same time as Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim on Which Relief Can Be Granted, or, in the Alternative, Summary Judgment, and for a Determination of the Applicable Law, which is February 24, 2006 and

## THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE THAT:

- 1. Defendants will submit their Motion to Strike and Objections to the Summary, and any declarations or other documents filed in support of that motion, on February 10, 2006;
- 2. Defendants will served Judith Chomsky by e-mail with any of the documents identified in Paragraph 1 that are not electronically filed, if any;
- 3. Plaintiffs' Opposition to the Motion to Strike will be filed on Friday, February 17, 2006;
- 4. Defendants will file their Reply in Support of the Motion to Strike on Tuesday, February 21, 2006.
- 5. The Motion to Strike will be heard concurrently with Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim on Which Relief Can Be Granted, or, in the Alternative, Summary Judgment, and for a Determination of the Applicable Law, on February 24, 2006.
- 6. To the extent that Defendants file evidence with their Reply in support of their Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim on Which Relief Can Be Granted, or, in the Alternative, Summary Judgment, and for a Determination of the Applicable Law, which evidence Plaintiffs contend should have been filed, if at all, with Defendants' moving papers, Plaintiffs reserve the right to seek a new hearing schedule that would allow Plaintiffs to file and brief a motion to strike such evidence and raise objections to it, that could be heard at the same time as Defendants' SFI-537580v1 PARTIES' STIPULATION REGARDING BRIEFING 2

## Case 3:99-cv-02506-SI Document 1004 Filed 02/14/06 Page 3 of 3

1	Motions to Dismiss and to Strike, and/or to seek an order continuing the hearing to allow		
2	Plaintiffs to file a supplemental opposition to defendants' newly submitted evidence.		
3			
4	DATED: February 10, 2006	JONES DAY	
5		By:	
6		Caroline N. Mitchell Attorneys for Defendants	
7	DATED: Edward 10, 2007		
8	DATED: February 10, 2006	LAW OFFICES OF JUDITH BROWN CHOMSKY	
9		By:	
10		Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
12	DATED: February 13, 2006	Suran Delaton	
13 14		The Hon. Susan Illston	
15		United States District Court Judge	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	GEV SOUTON 1	DADTIES, STIDIH ATION DECARDING DRIFFING	